

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application N .

Applicant(s)

09/938,491

CHARTIER ET AL.

Examiner

Art Unit

Myron G. Hill

1648

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Claims Rejected(1) Myron G. Hill.

(3) _____

(2) Susan Dadio.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 September 2003**Time:** 4:45**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 second rejection

Claims discussed:

24


Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 24 (only independent claim)- method not complete. The parts of the viral vector in the conclusion must be in the steps and the relevant parts in the steps must be in the conclusion. The Office suggested adding the parts in the conclusion in place of adenoviral genome in part (a)(i) and adding said exogenous DNA to the conclusion..